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## Passive Repeater History

- Microflect Company started passive repeater installations in 1956
- First used in analog telephone systems
- Especially useful in rugged inaccessible terrain
- No power or maintenance necessary
- Can be delivered and installed by helicopter
- More efficient than back to back parabolic dish antennas
- No additional frequency channels needed
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Passive Microwave Repeaters


## Google Earth: Direct Path



## Google Earth: Reflected Path



## Google Earth: Reflector Location



## Visual Sighting: What do I look for?

- Visual Sighting
- Simulation programs only show ground terrain.
- Need to look for obstructions
- Vegetation
- Buildings
- Possible traffic obstructions
- Fresnel Zone
- Volume surrounding line of sight path between antennas.
- Need to keep all obstructions out of the Fresnel zone.



## Visual Sighting: Fresnel Zone

- Fresnel Zone is a volume defined between the receive and transmit antennas.
- EM waves "spread out" from the transmit antenna (Huygens Principle).
- If some of the waves are blocked, the received signal will be reduced.



## Visual Sighting: Fresnel Zone

- Additional signals can be caused by reflection and refraction off of objects in the path, and can add destructively at the receiver and reduce signal strength. Reflected signals undergo a $180^{\circ}$ phase shift.
- Shape of the volume is an ellipsoid, since the sum of the distance between any point on the ellipsoid and both antennas (located at the foci of the ellipsoid) is a constant.



## Visual Sighting: Fresnel Zone

- Size of the Fresnel zone is defined by the wavelength.
- Each zone is defined by a path that is $\lambda / 2$ different in path length.
- Any obstruction in the Fresnel zone may cause an additional signal to be received via the alternate path. The signal may be in or out of phase: Out of phase signals will reduce signal level.
- Although multiple Fresnel zones can be defined, only the first zone is significant.



## Visual Sighting: Fresnel Zone

- The first Fresnel zone radius may be calculated using:

$$
r_{1 f t}=72.05 \times \sqrt{\frac{\text { dist }_{m i}}{4 \times \text { freq }_{G H z}}}
$$

- There are two paths we need to look at:
- Reflector $\rightarrow$ Bass Mtn., Dist $=2.3$ miles

$$
r_{1 f t}=72.05 \times \sqrt{\frac{2.3}{4 \times 6.0}}=22.3 \mathrm{ft}
$$

- Reflector $\rightarrow$ Fawndale, Dist $=0.8$ miles

$$
r_{1 f t}=72.05 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.8}{4 \times 6.0}}=13.2 \mathrm{ft}
$$

## Visual Sighting: Fresnel Zone

- The recommended clearance is $60 \%$ of the first Fresnel zone.
- The diameter of the clearance zone will therefore be $60 \%$ of two times the recommended clearance radius.
- For the Bass Mtn. $\rightarrow$ reflector path:

Clearance diameter $=2 \times 0.6 \times 22.3=26.8 \mathrm{ft}$

- For the reflector $\rightarrow$ Fawndale path:

Clearance diameter $=2 \times 0.6 \times 13.2=15.8 \mathrm{ft}$

- For each path, visualize a "tube" with the calculated diameter suspended in space between the endpoints. This is a conservative view, since the ellipsoid is actually narrower at the ends. The calculated value is the clearance at the midpoint of the path.
- In the case of vegetation, it grows: add additional clearance to keep the path open for a minimum of 5 years.


## Fresnel Zone Clearance: Reflector $\rightarrow$ Bass Mtn.



## Fresnel Zone Clearance: Reflector $\rightarrow$ Fawndale




## Path Calculations: Preliminaries

## - Warning: Equation Overload Ahead

- There are many details to be considered. Although we will look at some shortcuts later, the calculation details are initially presented in long form. (Pop quiz is optional.......)
- Some symbols and abbreviations that will be used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{dB}=\text { decibel } \\
& \mathrm{dBm}=\text { decibel, referenced to } 1 \text { milliwatt } \\
& \lambda=\text { wavelength of a radio signal in meters } \\
& \mathrm{GHz}=1 \times 10^{9} \text { Hertz (unit of frequency) } \\
& \log _{10}(\mathrm{X})=\text { Logarithm, base } 10 \text {, of } \mathrm{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Distances and elevations will be calculated in miles and feet. Some formulas may need modification if different units are used.
- The terms "Passive Repeater" and "Reflector" are equivalent and interchangeable.


## Path Calculations: Preliminaries

- What do I need to know before beginning?
- Where each location is located in 3D space:
- Latitude, Longitude, Elevation.
- Accuracy is critical.
- Handheld GPS is not "close enough" (especially for elevation).
- Use a professional surveying team.
- Easily within $\pm 1$ foot elevation, < 1 foot surface accuracy.
- From that information calculate:
- Distance between points (accurate to 1 foot)
- Elevation differences between points (accurate to 1 foot)
- Horizontal angle between paths (two decimal places)
- Vertical angles between reflector and end points (two decimal places)
- Correction for earth curvature can be calculated from:


## Path Calculations: Vertical Angles

## All elevations are to centerline of antenna/reflector



## Path Calculations: Vertical Angles

- Earth curvature correction factors:
- For the Reflector $\rightarrow$ Bass Mtn. path:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Correction }_{f t}=\frac{2.3^{2}}{1.5}=3.5 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \text { Corrected Vertical Angle }=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{1825.0-3.5}{12144.0}\right]=8.53^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The difference is $8.55-8.53=0.02^{\circ}$
- For the Reflector $\rightarrow$ Fawndale path:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Correction }_{f t}=\frac{0.8^{2}}{1.5}=0.4 f t \\
& \text { Corrected Vertical Angle }=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{14.0-0.4}{4224.0}\right]=0.18^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The difference is $0.19-0.18=0.01^{\circ}$


## Path Calculations: Horizontal Angle

- Find the bearing from the reflector to each end:

$$
\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\cos \left(L a t_{1}\right) \times \sin \left(L a t_{2}\right)-\sin \left(L a t_{1}\right) \times \cos \left(L a t_{2}\right) \times \cos (\Delta \text { Long })}{\sin (\Delta L o n g) \times \cos \left(L a t_{2}\right)}\right]
$$

- Note: This formula calculates an angle from the ' $x$ ' axis in the Cartesian coordinate system. Compass bearings are referenced from North $=0^{\circ}$, so we will need to convert the angle to a compass bearing.



## Path Calculations: Horizontal Angle

- For the reflector to Bass Mtn. path:
$\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\cos \left(40.7208^{\circ}\right) \times \sin \left(40.7328^{\circ}\right)-\sin \left(40.7208^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(40.7328^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(-0.0404^{\circ}\right)}{\sin \left(-0.0404^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(40.7328^{\circ}\right)}\right]=-21.42^{\circ}$
- Correcting for the change of axis:

Compass Bearing $=90.00^{\circ}-\left(-21.42^{\circ}\right)=111.42^{\circ}$

- For the reflector to Fawndale path:
$\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\cos \left(40.7208^{\circ}\right) \times \sin \left(40.7308^{\circ}\right)-\sin \left(40.7208^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(40.7308^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(0.0063^{\circ}\right)}{\sin \left(0.0063^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(40.7308^{\circ}\right)}\right]=64.48^{\circ}$
- Correcting for the change of axis:

$$
\text { Compass Bearing }=90.0^{\circ}-64.48^{\circ}=25.52^{\circ}
$$

- The horizontal angle between the paths is:

$$
360^{\circ}-\left(111.42^{\circ}+180^{\circ}\right)+25.52^{\circ}=94.10^{\circ}
$$

## Path Calculations: Horizontal Angle

- Let's see what this looks like:



## Path Calculations: Fade Margin

- Fade margin is the "extra" signal strength required at the receiver to allow for atmospheric and other conditions that cause variation in the received signal level.
- Several models are available for calculating fade margin. This model is known as the "Lenkurt" model, and tends to give the most conservative values.

$$
F M=-10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{1-\text { availability }}{T F \times C F \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{\text { Freq }}{4} \times \text { Dist }^{3}}\right]
$$

Availability: relative uptime in the range of $0-1.0(100 \%$ uptime $=1.0)$
TF = Terrain factor
CF = Climate factor
Freq = Frequency in GHz
Dist = Total path distance end to end in miles

## Path Calculations: Availability

| RELIABILITY <br> $\%$ | OUTAGE <br> TIME <br> $\%$ | OUTAGE TIME PER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | YEAR | MONTH <br> (Avg.) | DAY <br> (Avg.) |
| 0 | 100 | 8760 hours | 720 hours | 24 hours |
| 50 | 50 | 4380 hours | 360 hours | 12 hours |
| 80 | 20 | 1752 hours | 144 hours | 4.8 hours |
| 90 | 10 | 876 hours | 72 hours | 2.4 hours |
| 95 | 5 | 438 hours | 36 hours | 1.2 hours |
| 98 | 2 | 175 hours | 14 hours | 29 minutes |
| 99 | 1 | 88 hours | 7 hours | 14.4 minutes |
| 99.9 | 0.1 | 8.8 hours | 43 minutes | 1.44 minutes |
| 99.99 | 0.01 | 53 minutes | 4.3 minutes | 8.6 seconds |
| 99.999 | 0.001 | 5.3 minutes | 26 seconds | 0.86 seconds |
| 99.9999 | 0.0001 | 32 seconds | 2.6 seconds | 0.086 seconds |

## Path Calculations: Terrain/Climate Factor

## Factors were determined emperically. Most of these models were developed in the 1960s and 1970s.

|  |  | Mountainous, dry | Normal, interior | hot, humid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | climate factor (b) | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 2$ |
| terrain factor (a) |  |  |  |  |
| rough/dry | 0.25 | 99.999923 | 99.999846 | 99.999691 |
|  | 0.5 | 99.999846 | 99.999691 | 99.999382 |
| average | 0.75 | 99.999768 | 99.999537 | 99.999074 |
|  | 1 | 99.999691 | 99.999382 | 99.998765 |
|  | 2 | 99.999382 | 99.998765 | 99.997529 |
| smooth (over water) | 3 | 99.999074 | 99.998147 | 99.996294 |

## Path Calculations: Fade Margin

- The fade margin formula assumes a single path, where we actually have two paths. The accepted industry standard is to calculate the fade margin over the single longer path.

The fade margin for the proposed link is calculated using the following factors:
Availability: 0.99999 (99.999\%)
Terrain factor $=1.0$ (Average terrain)
Climate factor $=0.250$ (Normal interior climate)
Distance $=3.1$ miles
Frequency $=6.0 \mathrm{GHz}$

$$
F M=-10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{1-0.99999}{1.0 \times 0.250 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{6.0}{4} \times 3.1^{3}}\right]=10.5 d B
$$

The fade margin is added to the receiver threshold value to determine the required minimum received power level $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$.
Rofigelor size


## Path Calculations: Reflector Size

- The required size of the reflector is determined by calculating the required gain of the reflector that will result in the necessary minimum signal at the receiver that was calculated earlier.

The path loss for each path is determing using a version of the Friis equation:

$$
P L_{d B}=96.6+20 \log _{10}\left(\text { Freq }_{G H z}\right)+20 \log _{10}\left(\text { Dist }_{M i}\right)
$$

For the Reflector $\rightarrow$ Bass Mtn. path:

$$
P L_{1}=96.6+20 \log _{10}(6.0)+20 \log _{10}(2.3)=119.1 \mathrm{~dB}
$$

For the Reflector $\rightarrow$ Fawndale path:

$$
P L_{2}=96.6+20 \log _{10}(6.0)+20 \log _{10}(0.8)=109.9 d B
$$

## Path Calculations: Reflector Size

Now determine the required reflector gain:

Standard received power equation:

$$
P_{r}=P_{t}+G_{t}-P L_{1}-P L_{2}+G_{r}-L_{s y s}+G_{r e f}
$$

Rearrange to solve for the reflector gain:

$$
G_{r e f}=P_{r}-P_{t}-G_{t}+P L_{1}+P L_{2}-G_{r}+L_{s y s}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{PL}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Free Space Path Loss
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ gain of receive or transmit antenna, or reflector
$\mathrm{L}_{\text {sys }}=$ System Losses (coax, connectors, etc.)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Power transmitted ( t ) or received ( r ) note: all values in dB or dBm as appropriate

## Path Calculations: Reflector Size

Plug in the numbers:

```
\(\mathrm{PL}_{1}=119.1 \mathrm{~dB}\)
\(\mathrm{PL}_{2}=109.9 \mathrm{~dB}\)
\(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}=29.0 \mathrm{~dB}\) (Gain of transmit and receive antenna, each)
\(\mathrm{L}_{\text {sys }}=12 \mathrm{~dB}\) (System losses (coax, connectors, etc.))
\(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=+10 \mathrm{dBm}\) (Transmitter power)
\(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=-84.5 \mathrm{dBm}\) (Receiver threshold + fade margin)
```

$$
G_{r e f}=-84.5-10.0-29.0+119.1+109.9-29.0+12.0=88.5 d B
$$

## Path Calculations: Reflector Size

PASSIVE REPEATER GAIN, dB
FOR $s=0$ a $100 \%$ EFFICIENCY (Except for shaded areas B,C, and D)

| Incremental <br> Gain $(d B) \rightarrow$ |  | (Sizes: Height in Feet X Width in Feet / Dimensions in Meters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FREO, (GHz) <br> Center of Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.780 | 70.35 | 71.93 | 76.37 | 77.95 | 79.29 | 82.39 | 83.97 | 85.91 | 88.41 | 89.43 | 91.93 | 93.87 | 95.45 | 98.30 | 99.88 |
| 1.320 | 71.66 | 73.24 | 77.68 | 79.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.24 | 95.18 | 96.76 | 99.61 | 101.19 |
| 2.000 | 72.37 | 73.95 | 78.39 | 79.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.95 | 95.89 | 97.47 | 100.32 | 101.90 |
| 2.120 | 73.38 | 74.96 | 79.40 | $80 \%$ | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 84.96 | 96.90 | 98.48 | 101.33 | 102,91 |
| 2.810 | 73.54 | 75.12 | 79.56 | 14 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 95.12 | 97.06 | 98.64 | 101.49 | 103.07 |
| 2.170 | 73.79 | 75.37 | 79.71 | 81.39 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 35.37 | 97.31 | 98.89 | 101.74 | 103.32 |
| 2.190 | 73.95 | 75.53 | /9.97 | 81.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95.53 | 97.47 | 99.05 | 101.90 | 103.48 |
| 2699 | 76.89 | 78.4 | 82.91 | 84.49 | 6.83 | 88,93 | 90.51 | 92.45 |  | 5197 | 98.47 | 100.41 | 101.99 | 104.84 | 106.42 |
| 3.950 | 84.19 | 5.77 | 90.21 | 1.79 | 93.13 | 96.23 | 97.81 | 2075 | 102.25 | 103.27 | 105.77 | 107.71 | 109.29 | 112.14 | 113.72 |
| 4.700 | 87.21 | 88.79 | 93.23 | 94.81 | 96.15 |  | 00.83 | 102.77 | 105.27 | 106.29 | 108.79 | 110.73 | 112.31 | 115.16 | 116.74 |
| 6,175 | 91.95 | 93.53 | 97.97 | 9955 | T00.89 | 103,99 | 105.57 | 107.51 | 110.01 | 111.03 | 113,53 | 115.47 | 117.05 | 118.90 | 120.48 |
| 6.725 | 93.44 | 95.02 | 99,46 | 101.04 | 102.38 | 105.48 | 107.06 | 109.00 | 111.50 | 112.52 | 115.02 | 116.96 | 118.54 | 120,39 | 121.97 |
| 7.000 | 94.13 | 95.71 | 100.15 | 101.73 | 103,07 | 106.17 | 107.75 | 109.69 | 112.19 | 113.21 | 115.71 | 117.65 | 119,23 | 121,08 | 122.56 |
| 7,435 | 95.18 | 96.76 | 101.20 | 102.78 | 104.12 | 107.22 | 108.80 | 110.74 | 113.24 | 114.26 | 116.76 | 118.70 | 119.28 | 122.13 | 122.71 |
| 8.075 | 96.61 | 98.19 | 102.63 | 104.21 | 105.55 | 108.65 | 110.23 | 112.17 | 114.67 | 115.69 | 118.19 | 119.13 | 120.71 | 122.56 | 124.14 |
| 17,200 | 102.30 | 103.88 | 108,32 | 109.90 | 111.24 | 114.34 | 115.92 | 117.86 | 119.36 | 120,38 | 12288 | 123.82 | 125.40 | 12725 | 12883 |
| 22.450 | 104.14 | 105.72 | 110.16 | 111.74 | 113.08 | 116.18 | 117.76 | 119.70 | 121.20 | 122.22 | 123.72 | 125.66 | 127.24 |  |  |
| 12.825 | 104.65 | 106.25 | 110,67 | 112.25 | 113.59 | 116.69 | 118.27 | 119.21 | 121.71 | 122.73 | 124.23 | 126.17 | 127.75 |  |  |
| 13.075 | 104.99 | 106.57 | 111.01 | 112.59 | 113.93 | 117.03 | 118.61 | 119.55 | 122.05 | 123.07 | 124.57 | 126.51 |  |  |  |
| 14,825 | 107.17 | 108.75 | 113.19 | 114.77 | 116.11 | 119.21 | 119,79 | 121.73 | 123.23 | 124.25 | 126.75 |  |  |  |  |

## Question from the gallery....



## Reflector Gain

- Gain (in dB ) or Directivity (a linear factor) can be determined directly from the effective aperture of an antenna. The aperture is the "capture area" of an antenna. It determines how much of the radiated EM plane wave power is intercepted by the antenna.
- An isotropic antenna is one that receives or transmits equally well in all directions (in 3D space). Also known as a point source.
The effective aperture of an isotropic antenna is:
and is considered the "reference" aperture.
Directivity (linear) or Gain (in dB ) is defined as the ratio of the antenna aperture (or area) to the isotropic aperture.

$$
\text { Directivity }=\frac{\text { Aperture }}{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 \pi}}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Gain}_{d B}=20 \log _{10}\left[\frac{\text { Aperture }}{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 \pi}}\right]
$$

## Reflector Gain

- Note that the "gain" of an antenna is completely independent of the physical shape.
- Antennas that look different can have the same gain:

Gain $=8 d B i$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Length }=1.2 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { Width }=0.040 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { Area }=0.048 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Gain $=8 \mathrm{dBi}$


Length $=0.216 \mathrm{~m}$
Width $=0.216 \mathrm{~m}$
Area $=0.047 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

## Reflector Gain

- Although the "Gain" is equal, the patterns (what directions are favored) are totally different.
- It is basically the "balloon" principle: squeezing the pattern on one side will make it expand on the other side



## Reflector Gain

- For the reflector, gain will be the ratio of the aperture of the reflector (physical area) to the isotropic aperture:

A 16' x 10' reflector ( $4.88 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.05 \mathrm{~m}$ ) operated at 6.0 GHz has a gain of:

$$
G_{\text {ref }}=20 \log _{10}\left[\frac{4.88 \times 3.05}{\frac{0.05^{2}}{4 \pi}}\right]=97.5 d B
$$

Note that this gain is only at the wavelength specified
Lower frequencies ( larger $\lambda$ ) means lower gain At 2.4 GHz , the reflector would have to be $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ for the same gain
*It just so happens that this is the reflector size used for this project.

## Reflector Gain

Problem: This is the gain of the reflector with the EM wave normal to the reflector face:


What happens when the waves are not normal to the surface?

## Reflector Gain

## Reflector Effective Area: Look at three cases:

Waves normal to the surface: Effective Area = Reflector area


Waves intersect at an angle to the normal: Effective area = some fraction of the Reflector area


Waves intersect at $90^{\circ}$ to the normal: Effective area $=0$ $\qquad$

## Reflector Gain

## The result is what you would expect:

Reflector effective area $=$ Area * cos(wave intercept angle)
By the law of reflection, we know that the angle of the incoming wave and the angle of the outgoing wave are equal with respect to the normal of the surface.

Since we have already calculated the angle between the paths, the intercept angle is simply one-half of the included angle.

The wave intercept angle is given the symbol $\alpha$, and the included angle is therefore $2 \alpha$.

For the 16 'x10' ( $4.88 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.05 \mathrm{~m}$ ) reflector used in this design:
And the gain is now:

$$
A_{\text {effective }}=14.88 \times \cos \left(47.05^{\circ}\right)=10.14 m^{2}
$$

$$
G_{\text {ref }}=20 \log _{10}\left[\frac{10.14}{\frac{0.05^{2}}{4 \pi}}\right]=94.14 d B
$$

## Reflector Gain Details

Some details:

- Antennas normally have an "efficiency" factor.
- Reflector efficiency is generally $100 \%$
- The reflector has no conduction or dielectric loss
- There are some effects related to surface flatness for very large reflectors operated at very high frequencies ( 10 GHz and above). These effects can reduce the gain by up to 3 dB worst case.
- The "true" included angle.
- The incident wave angle $\alpha$ is technically one-half of the horizontal angle corrected by an additional value due to the vertical angles between the paths. For vertical angles $>20^{\circ}$, the "true" included angle must be calculated and used to determine the reflector gain. The plane defined by the paths between the endpoints and the reflector is not horizontal: it is tilted. The actual angle (as seen from the reflector) is different from the angle as measured in the horizontal plane. For small vertical angles, the correction is small (as we shall see).


## Reflector Gain Details

- Antenna gain is normally specified at the "far field" distance.
- If the reflector is too close to a receive or transmit antenna, gain will be affected. In the "near field" region, interaction between the antenna and reflector cause the net gain to be reduced.
- For reflectors and antennas in their "near field" zones, a correction factor must be applied.
- Near/far field can be determined by: $\frac{1}{k}=\frac{\pi \times \lambda \times d}{4 \times e f f A} \quad$ eff $A=A \cos \alpha$

A = Reflector area in sq. feet
$\mathrm{d}=$ distance between antenna and reflector in feet
$\alpha$ = wave intercept angle
$\lambda=$ wavelength in feet

- If $\frac{1}{k} \geq 2.5$ then antenna and reflector are in far field.

Tables and formulas are available to determine correction factor.

- For this project:

$$
\frac{1}{k}=\frac{\pi \times 0.164 \times 4224}{4 \times 109}=4.99
$$

so the reflector is in far field.

## Reflector Gain Details

- Polarization rotation
- Polarization is the alignment of the E and H fields of the electromagnetic wave with respect to a reference (the earth's surface being common).
- The polarization must be the same at the transmitter and receiver for the maximum signal to be transferred.
- EM waves reflected from a flat surface under certain conditions will undergo a rotation of polarization. If the shift is significant, additional attenuation will occur.
- If the polarization rotation is significant, it can easily be corrected by rotating one of the antennas.
- Polarization rotation increases as the angles (both horizontal and vertical) from the reflector to the endpoints increases.
- Polarization rotation and attenuation is calculated after the final reflector position angles are determined.


## Reflector gain: Large Horizontal Angle

As we observed earlier, as the included angle increases, the gain of the reflector is decreased due to the decreased effective area. Using our $16^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}(4.88 \times 3.05 \mathrm{~m})$ reflector as an example:

When $\alpha=65^{\circ}$ (included angle $130^{\circ}$ ) :
Effective Area $=(4.88 \times 3.05) \times \cos \left(65^{\circ}\right)=6.29 m^{2}$
The gain of the reflector is now:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { now: } \\
& G_{\text {ref }}=20 \log _{10}\left[\frac{6.29}{\frac{0.05^{2}}{4 \pi}}\right]=90.0 d B
\end{aligned}
$$

Compared to the $0^{\circ}$ angle gain we calculated earlier:

$$
90.0 d B-97.5 d B=-7.5 d B
$$

Therefore: once the included angle exceeds $130^{\circ}$, the path needs to be changed to reduce the reflection angles.

## Reflector gain: Large Horizontal Angle

For large horizontal angles, a double reflector is used.


The possibilities are endless:


## Path Calculations: Will it work?

Let us summarize the calculations:

Receiver threshold $=-95 \mathrm{dBm}$
Fade Margin $=10.5 \mathrm{~dB}$ for 0.99999 availability
Transmit power $=+10 \mathrm{dBm}$
Antenna gain (each end) $=29.0 \mathrm{~dB}$
Path loss $\mathrm{PL}_{1}=119.1 \mathrm{~dB}, \mathrm{PL}_{2}=109.9 \mathrm{~dB}$
System losses $=12.0 \mathrm{~dB}$
Reflector gain = 94.1

$$
P_{r}=10.0+29.0-119.1-109.9+29.0-12.0+94.1=-78.9 \mathrm{dBm}
$$

Minimum Required $\operatorname{Pr}=-95.0+10.5=-84.5 \mathrm{dBm}$
Received power > Minimum required power: "It works!!"

## Path Calculations: Is this efficient?

The path gain/loss equation shows two path loss values:

$$
G_{r e f}=P_{r}-P_{t}-G_{t}+P L_{1}+P L_{2}-G_{r}+L_{s y s}
$$

Does the reflector make up for the additional loss?
Straight line path loss for the total distance ( 3.1 mi .) $=-122.0 \mathrm{~dB}$
Total path loss for the two separate paths:

| 2.3 mi. | $=-119.1 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0.8 mi. | $=-109.9 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
|  | $=-229.0 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
|  | +94.1 dB |
| Add the reflector gain | $=-134.9 \mathrm{~dB}$ |

In this case it takes approximately 13 dB of additional gain somewhere to make up the difference. This can be higher power, larger antennas, or a larger reflector. Longer paths will result in greater losses.


## Reflector Position Calculations

- How do we determine the physical position of the reflector?
- Theory: the normal to the face of the reflector must bisect the true angle between the two endpoints.
- Start with the horizontal angle between the sites.
- Calculate the correction to the horizontal angle to compensate for the tilt of the plane containing the paths.
- Calculate the necessary vertical angle of the reflector face.
- The equations:

$$
\tan \Delta \alpha=\tan \alpha \times \frac{\cos \theta_{1}-\cos \theta_{2}}{\cos \theta_{1}+\cos \theta_{2}} \quad \tan \theta_{3}=\frac{\cos \Delta \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \times \frac{\sin \theta_{1}-\sin \theta_{2}}{\cos \theta_{1}+\cos \theta_{2}}
$$

$\theta_{1}=$ smaller of the two vertical angles to endpoints
$\theta_{2}=$ larger of the two vertical angles to endpoints
$\theta_{3}=$ vertical tilt of the reflector
$\alpha=1 / 2$ of the horizontal angle between the endpoints
$\Delta \alpha=$ correction to the horizontal angle

## Reflector Position Calculations



## Reflector Position Calculations

Let's plug in some values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \alpha & =\tan ^{-1}\left[\tan \left(47.05^{\circ}\right) \times \frac{\cos \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)-\cos \left(8.55^{\circ}\right)}{\cos \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(8.55^{\circ}\right)}\right]=0.34^{\circ} \quad \text { (correction angle) } \\
\theta_{3} & =\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\cos \left(0.34^{\circ}\right)}{\cos 47.05^{\circ}} \times \frac{\sin \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)+\sin \left(8.55^{\circ}\right)}{\cos \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(8.55^{\circ}\right)}\right]=6.40^{\circ} \quad \text { (vertical angle) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reflector Position Calculations

Summary of Calculation Results:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2 \alpha=94.1^{\circ} & \text { (horizontal angle between endpoints) } \\
\theta_{1}=0.19^{\circ} & \text { (vertical angle to Fawndale) } \\
\theta_{2}=8.55^{\circ} & \text { (vertical angle to Bass Mtn. } \\
\theta_{3}=6.40^{\circ} & \text { (vertical tilt of the reflector) } \\
\Delta \alpha=0.34^{\circ} & \text { (correction to horizontal angle) }
\end{array}
$$

When adjusting the position of the reflector, the horizontal correction is always applied toward the endpoint with the smallest vertical angle.

## Reflector Position Calculations

- Polarization rotation (just for drill)
- We know polarization rotation is likely to be insignificant due to the small vertical angles.
- The relevant equations are:

True angle between endpoints, $\mathrm{C}: \quad C=2 \times \cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sin \theta_{1}+\sin \theta_{2}}{2 \times \sin \theta_{3}}\right]$
$\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}=$ vertical angles to endpoints
$\theta_{3}=$ reflector vertical angle
Rotation of wave at each end:

$$
\phi_{1}=\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sin \theta_{1}-\sin \theta_{2} \times \cos C}{\cos \theta_{2} \times \sin C}\right]
$$

$\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}=$ polarization rotation at endpoint
$\Delta \phi=$ total rotation over the path

$$
\phi_{2}=\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sin \theta_{3}-\sin \theta_{1} \times \cos \frac{C}{2}}{\cos \theta_{1} \times \sin \frac{C}{2}}\right]
$$

Total rotation of wave over the path:

$$
\Delta \phi=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}-180^{\circ}
$$

## Reflector Position Calculations

- Some numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=2 \times \cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sin (0.19)+\sin (8.55)}{2 \times \sin (6.40)}\right]=94.03^{\circ} \\
& \cos \phi_{1}=\frac{\sin \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)-\sin \left(8.55^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(94.03^{\circ}\right)}{\cos \left(8.55^{\circ}\right) \times \sin \left(94.03^{\circ}\right)}=89.21^{\circ} \\
& \cos \phi_{2}=\frac{\sin \left(6.40^{\circ}\right)-\cos \left(47.01^{\circ}\right) \times \sin \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)}{\sin \left(47.01^{\circ}\right) \times \cos \left(0.19^{\circ}\right)}=81.32^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \phi=89.21^{\circ}+81.32^{\circ}-180^{\circ}=-9.47^{\circ}
$$

Attenuation due to polarization rotation: $\quad \operatorname{Loss}_{d B}=10 \log _{10} \frac{1}{(\cos \Delta \phi)^{2}}$
$\operatorname{Loss}_{d B}=10 \log _{10} \frac{1}{\left(\cos \left(-9.47^{\circ}\right)\right)^{2}}=0.12 d B$


## Help with calculations

- This is a well developed and tested technology (no magic)
- Valmont/Microflect manual provides everything you need to know to successfully implement a reflector
- Worksheets with examples
- Tables and graphs to select the proper size
- Available on the web
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## Help with calculations

Passive Repeater Effective Area, Ae, and Polarization Rotation, $\Delta \phi$, Calculation Sheet

## NOTE

Work these calculations in conjunction with the face angle,
$\theta_{3}$, and horizontal correction angle, $\Delta^{\alpha}$, calculations.
Effective Area, $\mathrm{Ae}=($ Normal Area $)(\cos \mathrm{C} / 2)$
Where: $\mathbf{C}$ is the true angle between incident $\&$ reflected beams.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Normal Area }=(\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}) \text { for rectangular passives } \\
& \text { Normal Area }=(\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}) \frac{\pi}{4} \text { for elliptical reflectors }
\end{aligned}
$$


$\cos \frac{C}{2}=\frac{\sin \theta_{1}+\sin \theta_{2}}{2 \sin \theta_{3}}=\frac{(0.4976)}{2(0.2970)}=+0.8377$ $A \mathrm{e}=(16)(24)(0.8377)=321$ SQ.FT.
$\frac{C}{2}=33.10$ degrees, $\quad \sin C / 2=+0.5461$
$C=66.20$ degrees, $\quad \sin C=+0.9150 \cos C= \pm 0.4035$
Polarization rotation $\Delta \phi=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}-180^{\circ}$, where :
$\cos \phi_{1}=\left[\frac{\sin \theta_{1}-\sin \theta_{2} \cos C}{\cos \theta_{2} \sin C}\right]=\left[\frac{( \pm 0.2164)-( \pm 0.2812)(\oplus 0.4035)}{(+0.9596)(+0.9150)}\right]=\frac{\oplus 0.1172}{0}$
$\phi_{1}=83.3$ degrees
$\cos \phi_{2}=\left[\frac{\sin \theta_{3}-\cos \mathrm{C} / 2 \sin \theta_{1}}{\sin \mathrm{C} / 2 \cos \theta_{1}}\right]=\left[\frac{(\oplus 0.2970)-(+0.8377)( \pm 0.2164)}{(+0.5461)(+0.9763)}\right]=\underline{(0.2170}$

$$
\phi_{2}=77.5 \text { degrees }
$$

© Valmont/Mic roflect Inc.
$\Delta \phi=\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}-180^{\circ}=$ $\qquad$ $+77.5$ $-180^{\circ}=$ 古 $^{\circ} 19.2^{\circ}$

## There must be an easier way.......

- There is no shortcut to the field work.
- Google Earth helps, but there is no substitute for physically sighting the path.
- Two options for use of present technology
- Excel-type spreadsheet.
- Enter the formulas and data manually
- Need a way to validate results.
- Specialized Application
- (Hopefully) well tested.
- Extra features such as terrain identification
- Up and running with a minimum of time investment.


## Spreadsheet: Reflector position calcs

| Microwave reflector bearing calculations Instructions: fill in information in green boxes. |  |  | Bass - Fawndale |  | feet | miles | Site ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructions: fill in information in green boxes. <br> feet |  |  | feet |  |  |  |  |
| Site 1 elevation | 2785 | Site 1 antenna height | 45 | dist from reflector | 12144 | 2.3 | Bass Mtn |
| Site 2 elevation | 974 | Site 2 antenna height | 45 | dist from reflector | 4224 | 0.8 | Fawndale |
| Reflector elevation | 992 | Height of reflector center | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Reflector size | 10 | X | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  | degrees | radians |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal angle (2a) | 94.10 | 1.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/2 Horiz angle ( $\alpha$ ) | 47.05 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | direction from horiz |  |  |  |
| vertical angle $\Theta 1$ | 0.19 | 0.00 |  | up |  |  |  |
| vertical angle $\Theta 2$ | 8.55 | 0.15 |  | up |  |  |  |
| $\Theta 3$ | 6.40 | 0.11 |  | up |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \alpha$ | 0.34 | 0.01 | towards | Fawndale |  |  |  |
| Reflector effective area (sq. ft.) |  |  | 109.09 |  |  |  |  |
| True angle C | 94.03 | 1.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C/2 | 47.01 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polarization rotation | -9.47 | -0.17 | attenuation | 0.12 |  |  |  |

## Spreadsheet: Fade Margin



## Specialized Application: PathAnal
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## Specialized Application: PathAnal



## The Physical Stuff

We have everything we need on paper, but it is only useful if it exists in the real world......


## Everything Begins with a Good Foundation
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## Some Challenges

- Unusual location
- Limited access
- Steep angle
- Unpaved, loose soil
- Contractors
- May not be familiar with this type of equipment
- Plans must be clear and concise
- Include test conditions and specifications
- Scheduling time for test
- Have endpoints set up ahead of time
- Adjustment Procedure
- Well documented
- Dependent upon accurate site measurements and calculations


## Construction
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## Adjustment: Equipment

- Use of transit/theodolite with digital readout
- Measures horizontal and vertical angles
- Allows measurement of differences on each side of center
- Adjustment "sticks" attached to reflector
- Provides measurement from each corner of the reflector



## Adjustment: Reflector setup



## Adjustment: Horizontal Angle


adjust bearing until same
reading on rule is obtained

TAPE STICK TC PASSIVE


## Adjustment: Horizontal Angle Correction

$$
x=8 \times 12 \times \tan \left(0.34^{\circ}\right)=0.57^{\prime \prime}
$$

Total difference between readings $=1.14 "$

## Adjustment: Vertical angle



## Adjustment: Mechanics

- Lower adjustment rods used for both horizontal and vertical adjustment
- Upper arms are attached after final position is set.
- Adjustment range is limited
- Foundation location is critical



## Results

- Initial testing
- Received signal level within $\pm 3 \mathrm{~dB}$ of calculated
- Long term performance
- Remote monitoring of signal level at both ends
- Variance will occur due to atmospheric conditions
- Generally stronger in the morning
- Stable link
- No known outages over almost 2 years of operation
- Link is running with an extra +5 dBm of power


## Results: Signal Strength

Fawndale Received Signal Strength (roadside)


## Results: Signal Strength

Fawndale Received Signal Strength (hilltop)

_RSL

## Cost: Isn’t this Expensive?

- Total cost of reflector + installation was $\approx \$ 88 \mathrm{~K}$
- This is a one-time cost with virtually zero maintenance.
- There can be considerable savings when compared to long term ongoing costs for cellular or ISDN charges
- Much higher bandwidth over this type of link
- Reliability: there is nothing to break
- Advantages in inclimate climates
- No need to access in the dead of winter
- Extreme ice conditions can be handled


## Summary

- Just because a remote site is not within "line of sight" does not mean it cannot be accessed via microwave radio.
- Highways tend to be in canyons
- Right of way many times will include locations well above the roadway level
- A reflector can be put in locations that would be impractical for an "active" repeater
- No power required
- No maintenance or repair
- No regular access needs to be maintained
- Reliability of the passive repeater, properly installed, will be $100 \%$


## Thank You

- Every project requires the support of lots of folks, without whose assistance no project would be successful.
- Caltrans
- Art Robles, P.E., Caltrans Electrical Design
- Mike Mogen P.E., Caltrans Civil Engineering Design
- Gary Meurer, Caltrans ITS Electronic Technician
- Ian Turnbull, P.E., Chief, Office of ITS Engineering and Support
- Ken Vomaske, P.E., Caltrans Construction
- Contractors and Suppliers
- Valmont/Microflect Inc. (Supplier)
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## Questions \& Comments

